MiR-2 family regulates insect metamorphosis by controlling the juvenile hormone signaling pathway
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In 2009 we reported that depletion of Dicer-1, the enzyme that catalyzes the final step of miRNA biosynthesis, prevents metamorphosis in *Blatella germanica*. However, the precise regulatory roles of miRNAs in the process have remained elusive. In the present work, we have observed that Dicer-1 depletion results in an increase of mRNA levels of Krüppel homolog 1 (Kr-h1), a juvenile hormone-dependent transcription factor that represses metamorphosis, and that depletion of Kr-h1 expression in Dicer-1 knockdown individuals rescues metamorphosis. We have also found that the 3′UTR of Kr-h1 mRNA contains a functional binding site for miR-2 family miRNAs (for miR-2, miR-13a, and miR-13b). These data suggest that metamorphosis impairment caused by Dicer-1 and miRNA depletion is due to a deregulation of Kr-h1 expression and that this deregulation is derived from a deficiency of miR-2 family miRNAs. We corroborated this by treating the last nymphal instar of *B. germanica* with an miR-2 inhibitor, which impaired metamorphosis, and by treating Dicer-1-depleted individuals with an miR-2 mimic to allow nymphal-to-adult metamorphosis to proceed. Taken together, the data indicate that miR-2 miRNAs scavenge Kr-h1 transcripts when the transition from nymph to adult should be taking place, thus crucially contributing to the correct culmination of metamorphosis.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous, *ca.* 22-nt, single-strand, noncoding RNAs that regulate gene expression by acting posttranscriptionally through basepairing between the so-called seed sequence of the miRNA (nucleotides 2–8 at its 5′ end) and the complementary seed match sequence in the target mRNA (1). Since miRNAs were first discovered in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* in the 1990s (2) and subsequently detected in other species (3), a remarkable diversity of them has been reported in a variety of organisms, including insects, plants, viruses, and vertebrates (4). Information available indicates that miRNAs are key players in gene regulatory networks, driving cell differentiation, conferring robustness, and channeling the development complexity in early bilaterian evolution has been correlated with a strong increase in the number of miRNAs (9–11). In insects, miRNAs have been shown to be involved in fine-tuning a number of biological processes such as cell proliferation, apoptosis and growth, oogenesis, and development, as well as response to biological stress (12).

In 2009 we first looked at whether miRNAs might have a relevant role in the regulation of insect metamorphosis. Only a few published studies had considered the contribution of miRNAs to this process, but these focused on specific aspects, such as wing formation and neuromuscular development (13–16). They also used the fly *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model, a species that follows the holometabolan mode of metamorphosis, in which the juvenile stages are extremely divergent from the adult body plan and thus require a dramatic transformation for adult morphogenesis (17–20). To study the possible role of miRNAs on metamorphosis we used the cockroach *Blatella germanica* as a model, because it displays the more primitive hemimetabolan mode of metamorphosis, where the juvenile stages already have the adult body plan, thus making the transition from nymph to adult much less dramatic than in holometabolan species. In both hemimetabolan and holometabolan modes the regulation of metamorphosis is basically ensured by two hormones, the molting hormone (generally 20-hydroxyecdysone), which triggers nonmetamorphic and metamorphic molts, and the juvenile hormone (JH) that represses the metamorphic character of the molts (21). Our approach involved silencing the expression of Dicer-1, the ribonuclease that produces mature miRNAs from miRNA precursors (22), following a method that had been used successfully in zebrafish (23). The approach was also effective for *B. germanica*, because depletion of Dicer-1 mRNA levels resulted in reduced levels of mature miRNAs and, importantly, prevented metamorphosis; last instar nymphs molted to supernumerary nymphs or to nymph–adult intermediates instead of normal adults (24).

The results obtained for *B. germanica* indicated that miRNAs played a crucial role in regulating metamorphosis, at least in this hemimetabolan model. Our next goal was to unveil which miRNAs and targets were involved, and we started by obtaining a catalog of the miRNAs present in the transition from nymph to adult (25) and then identifying which miRNAs were differentially expressed in this transition; these were let-7, miR-125, and miR-100 (26). A functional study of these miRNAs, however, revealed that they play minor roles in metamorphosis, being related only to wing vein patterning, because depleting them resulted in disorganized vein/intervein patterns and anomalous bifurcations of the wing A-veins (27). Given that none of these
miRNAs explained the total suppression of metamorphosis observed after depleting Dicer-1 (24), we shifted to an miRNA target approach, choosing Krüppel-homolog 1 (Kr-h1) as a candidate.

Kr-h1 is a JH-dependent transcription factor that represses metamorphosis in both hemimetabolan (28, 29) and holometabolan insects (30, 31) and is a crucial element of the JH signaling pathway comprising Methoprene-tolerant (Met), Kr-h1, and E93, the MEKRE93 pathway (32), which switches metamorphosis on and off in all insects. As in other species, Kr-h1 mRNA is expressed in juvenile instars of B. germanica but its expression decreases in the last nymphal instar, when JH titer in the haemolymph also decreases, which is followed by adult morphogenesis (29). RNAi experiments have shown that depleting Kr-h1 in the prelast nymphal instar leads to precocious metamorphosis (29), thus demonstrating the role of master repressor of this transcription factor in adult morphogenesis. An interesting feature of Kr-h1 in B. germanica is that the decrease of transcript levels in freshly emerged last nymphal instar is very abrupt (29), a fact that suggested to us the hypothesis that miRNAs could contribute toward modulating it. The work reported herein was addressed to test this hypothesis.

Results and Discussion

Depletion of Dicer-1 Increases Kr-h1 Expression and Depletion of Kr-h1 in Dicer-1 Knockdown Individuals Rescues Metamorphosis. To gain a first insight into whether Kr-h1 might be a promising candidate, we tested whether depletion of Dicer-1, impairing mature miRNA formation, would affect Kr-h1 mRNA levels. We proceeded as in our previous work (24) and treated penultimate instar female nymphs (N5) of B. germanica with double-stranded RNA targeting Dicer-1 (dsDicer-1). As expected, Dicer-1 mRNA levels became depleted and mature mRNA levels were reduced (Fig. S1A). The dsDicer-1–treated insects (n = 10) molted to the next instar nymph (N6) and subsequently to either a perfect supernumerary nymph (N7) (80%) or to nymphoids with membrane-like lateral expansions in the metathorax (20%) (Fig. S1B). Two out of the eight N7 insects molted to second supernumerary nymphs (N8) and then to adults, which were bigger than normal and had a blackish abdomen similar in color to that of a nymph (Fig. S1C). Control (dsMock-treated) individuals showed normal levels of Dicer-1 mRNA and let-7, and all of them (n = 10) molted to N6 and then to normal adults (Fig. S1D). Interestingly, mRNA levels of Kr-h1 measured at the beginning of N6 were very low in dsMock-treated animals, as expected, but they were higher in dsDicer-1–treated individuals (Fig. S1A). Double dsDicer-1 treatment, one on N5D0 and the other on N5D3, was more efficient in reducing Dicer-1 mRNA levels, and Kr-h1 expression was much higher than in the single dsDicer-1 treatment experiments (Fig. S2A); in all cases (n = 15), these treatments resulted in perfect supernumerary N7 nymphs that died after molting (Fig. S2B).

We then wondered whether Kr-h1 was the main, if not the only, factor affected by miRNA depletion in the context of metamorphosis. Thus, we designed a phenotype rescue experiment by depleting Kr-h1 mRNA levels in Dicer-1 knockdown individuals. Freshly emerged N5s were treated with dsDicer-1 and, just after molting to N6, the same individuals were treated with dsKr-h1. Controls were equivalently treated with dsMock. All individuals treated with dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1 (n = 18) molted to N6 and then to adults, just as the dsMock-treated controls did (n = 11) (Fig. 1). The only difference was observed in the membranous hindwings of the individuals treated with dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1, which were somewhat smaller, wrinkled, and presented defects in the vein/intervein pattern (Fig. 1), reminiscent of the phenotype obtained after depleting let-7, mir-100, and mir-125 (27). As expected, mRNA levels of Kr-h1 were depleted in the individuals treated with dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1 (Fig. 1). We also measured the expression of the other components of the MEKRE93 pathway, Met and E93, as well as Broad Complex (BR-C), a family of JH-dependent transcription factors that in postembryonic development of B. germanica are involved in wing formation (33). Results showed that transcript levels of Met, which is upstream of Kr-h1, were not affected and those of BR-C were higher than controls in dsDicer-1–treated individuals and returned to normal levels in dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1–treated individuals, conversely, E93 transcript levels were reduced in dsDicer-1–treated individuals and tended to recover normal levels in the dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1–treated group (Fig. S2C). These results are consistent with the epistatic relationships of these factors in the JH signaling pathway and in the MEKRE93 axis (32). Interestingly, the intermediate levels of E93 expression in dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1–treated individuals (Fig. S2C), associated with low Kr-h1 (Fig. 1) and BR-C (Fig. S2C) expression, are sufficient to result in a 100% adult morphogenesis.

Kr-h1 mRNA Contains a Functional Binding Site for miR-2. The above results suggest that inhibition of metamorphosis caused by the absence of mature miRNAs is mainly due to impairing the Kr-h1 mRNA decrease that occurs at the beginning of N6 in B. germanica, which would seem to be regulated by miRNAs. To test this hypothesis we started by predicting miRNA binding sites in the 3′UTR of Kr-h1 mRNA using RNAhybrid, miRanda, and PITA algorithms. As queries, we used the miRNAs identified by high-throughput sequencing in B. germanica N6 (25). All three algorithms coincided in predicting a top-scored site for miR-2 located at the beginning (nucleotide 67) of the 3′UTR of the Kr-h1 mRNA (Fig. S3A and Table S1). In B. germanica N6, miR-2 coexists with miR-13a and miR-13b (25), two miRNAs that only differ from miR-2 in 1–3 nt outside the seed region (Fig. S3B) and that are predicted to bind at the same miR-2 site on the Kr-h1 mRNA (Table S1). miR-2, miR-13a, and miR-13b have been grouped into the miR-2 family and they cluster together in the genome, which
suggest that they are produced from a single transcript and are coexpressed (34). In the genome of *B. germanica* miR-2, miR-13a, and miR-13b also cluster together (Fig. S3C), showing an organization identical to that observed in the flour beetle *Tricholobum castaneum*, the silk moth *Bombyx mori*, and the honey bee *Apis mellifera* (34). The cluster also includes miR-71, which do not belong to the miR-2 family but is associated with the same cluster in the same position in these three species and in *B. germanica*.

Interestingly, a top-scored site for miR-2 is also predicted in the 3′UTR of Kr-h1 mRNA of other hemimetabolan insects, such as the linden bug *Pyrrhocoris apterus*, the kissing bug *Rhodnius prolixus*, and the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Table S1). Conversely, these same algorithms did not predict an miR-2 site in the 3′UTR of Kr-h1 mRNA in holometabolan species, such as *D. melanogaster*, *T. castaneum*, or *B. mori*. In *B. germanica*, the expression pattern of miR-2, miR-13a, and miR-13b in females of the last two nympha1 instars, N5 and N6, and the beginning of the adult stage is similar, fluctuating around one copy per copy of U6 (Fig. 2A). Intriguingly, these miRNA expression patterns do not correlate with those of Kr-h1 (Fig. 2A). A similar fluctuating pattern of miR-2 expression has been observed in the brown plant hopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (35).

To functionally validate the predicted miR-2 binding site we linked the entire Kr-h1 3′UTR containing the miR-2 site to a luciferase reporter gene and expressed this WT construct in *Drosophila* S2 cells. As a negative control, we used the equivalent construct, but linking a Kr-h1 3′UTR version where 8 nt (CUGUGAUCA; Fig. S3A) of the miR-2 site had been deleted (Mut construct). These 8 nt include those complementary to the seed region of miR-2 miRNAs.

The first measurements revealed that luciferase activity was significantly higher in the Mut construct than in the WT (Fig. 2B), owing to the high levels of endogenous miR-2 reported for *B. germanica* (36). The first measurements revealed that luciferase activity was significantly higher in the Mut construct than in the WT (Fig. 2B), owing to the high levels of endogenous miR-2 reported for *B. germanica* (36). We then determined that miR-2 levels in our S2 cells could be lowered using an miR-2 LNA (locked nucleic acid) inhibitor (miR-2 LNA-i) (Fig. S3D). When S2 cells expressing the WT construct were incubated with miR-2 LNA-i, the translation of the construct was derepressed, as indicated by the significant increase in the luciferase signal, as expected. Conversely, the same treatment with miR-2 LNA-i did not modify the luciferase signal in S2 cells expressing the Mut construct (Fig. 2C). This suggests that the miR-2 site predicted in the 3′UTR of Kr-h1 mRNA is functional.

We additionally assessed the functionality of this site by treating *B. germanica* in vivo with miR-2 LNA-i (or with miRNA LNA-i Negative Control, for controls) on N6D0 and N6D1 and by measuring miRNA levels on N6D2. The results showed that miR-2 levels were significantly depleted (as were those of miR-13a and miR-13b), whereas those of let-7, used as a negative control, were not (Fig. 2D). Kr-h1 mRNA levels were significantly higher than in the controls (Fig. 2E), suggesting that miR-2 miRNAs regulate Kr-h1 transcript levels. Interestingly, 1 of the 10 individuals treated with miR-2 LNA-i that was still alive attempted to molt and completed the apolysis but arrested and died without eclosing. It presented supernumero1 cuticular structures, reminiscent of the molting-defective phenotype obtained after depleting the ec dysone receptor (EcR) (37). The new exoskeleton had the general morphology of a supernumery nympha (N7), and manual removal of the exuvium of the mesonotum and metanotum revealed that there were neither tegmina nor wings developed.

**Treatment with an miR-2 Inhibitor Impairs Metamorphosis and Treatment of Dicer-1 Knockdown Individuals with an miR-2 Mimic Restores It.**

The supernumery nymph obtained with the miR-2 LNA-i treatment encouraged us to carry out new experiments in vivo following the same approach to study the phenotype. To achieve greater efficiency in the miR-2 depletion, we used a more potent antagonist, namely miR-2 Power Inhibitor (miR-2 LNA-pi), administered in two doses, on N6D0 and N6D1. Controls were equivalently treated with miRNA LNA-i Negative Control. Comparing treated and controls on N6D2 revealed that miR-2 LNA-pi treatment efficiently depleted miR-2 (as well as miR-13a and miR-13b), whereas let-7 was unaffected (Fig. 3A). The same samples showed that Kr-h1 mRNA levels were higher in individuals treated with miR-2 LNA-pi than in the controls (Fig. 3B), thus indicating that Kr-h1 transcripts had not been properly down-regulated in miR-2-depleted individuals. These results also indicate that miR-2 LNA-pi is more efficient than miR-2 LNA-i in depleting miR-2 miRNAs and derepressing Kr-h1 expression. We additionally carried out equivalent experiments with miR-13a LNA-pi and miR-13b LNA-pi, which showed that both reduced the levels of the three members of the miR-2 family (leaving let-7 levels unaffected), but with less efficiency than that elicited by miR-2 LNA-pi (Fig. S4). In these individuals, Kr-h1 expression was higher than in controls but lower than that observed in miR-2 LNA-pi treatments (compare Fig. 3A and Fig. S4). These results show that miR-2 LNA-pi treatment is
Fig. 3. Effect of miR-2 inhibitor treatment on N6 and of miR-2 mimic treatment in Dicer-1 knockdown individuals of *B. germanica*. (A) Effect of miR-2 miRCURY LNA microRNA Power Inhibitor (miR-2 LNA-pi) on miRNA levels; individuals were treated with two doses of 25 nM of miR-2 LNA-pi or miRCURY LNA microRNA Inhibitor Negative Control A (control), one on N6D0 and the other on N6D1, and miRNAs were measured in N6D2. (B) *Kr-h1* mRNA levels measured in the same samples. (C) *Kr-h1* mRNA levels were measured on N6D4. (D) *Kr-h1* mRNA levels were measured on N6D4. (E) N7 and adult individuals obtained from miR-2 LNA-pi treatments. (F) Effects of dsDicer-1 and miR-2 mimic (miR-2-m) treatments on *Kr-h1* transcript levels; dsDicer-1 and dsMock treatments to deplete Dicer-1 mRNA were carried out on N5D0 as described in Fig. 1; the group further treated with miR-2-m received three doses of 25 nM each, on N5D4, N6D0, and N6D1, respectively; the controls of these experiments received an equivalent treatment with miRNA Scramble (controls); *Kr-h1* mRNA levels were measured on N6D4. (E) N7 and adult individuals obtained from these dsDicer-1+miR-2 mimics treatments. Data in A, B, and D represent the mean ± SEM (n = 4); miRNA levels are indicated as copies per copy of U6 and *Kr-h1* expression is indicated as copies of *Kr-h1* mRNA per 1,000 copies of Actin-5c mRNA. The different letters at the top of the columns in each histogram indicate statistically significant differences (in all cases *P* < 0.05), according to the REST software tool.

the most efficient to simultaneously deplete the three members of the miR-2 family and to impair the down-regulation of *Kr-h1* mRNA.

At the phenotypic level, 6 out of 34 miR-2 LNA-pi–treated individuals died shortly after the second treatment. Of the 28 survivors, 6 (21%) molted to supernumerary nymphs (N7) and 22 (79%) molted to normal adults (Fig. 3C). These results further suggest that miR-2 miRNAs remove *Kr-h1* transcripts at the beginning of the last nymphal instar (N6) of *B. germanica*, thus contributing toward triggering correct metamorphosis. The relatively modest 21% of individuals that did not metamorphose when treated with miR-2 LNA-pi is possibly due to the fact that only this percentage of individuals maintained high-enough *Kr-h1* levels to prevent metamorphosis. The treatments with miR-13a LNA-pi and miR-13b LNA-pi (n = 43 and 40, respectively) also elicited a modest mortality (5 individuals died in each treatment), and of the 38 and 35 respective survivors, 4 (11%) and 3 (9%) molted to supernumerary nymphs, whereas the remaining individuals molted to normal adults.

Another way to demonstrate that the absence of miR-2 miRNAs in Dicer-1 knockdown individuals is the main explanation for metamorphosis inhibition (24) is through a rescue experiment using an miR-2 mimic. Thus, freshly emerged N5 nymphs were treated with dsDicer-1 and these individuals were then treated with the miR-2 mimic or received an equivalent treatment with miRNA Scramble (controls). Transcript measurements showed that *Kr-h1* mRNA levels in dsDicer-1–treated individuals were higher than in the controls, as expected, whereas in those treated with both dsDicer-1 and miR-2 mimic the levels were similar to those observed in the controls (Fig. 3D). At the phenotypic level, the individuals treated with both dsMock and miRNA Scramble (*n* = 10) molted to N6 and then to normal adults, and those treated with dsDicer-1 + miRNA Scramble (*n* = 10) molted to N6 and then to N7. In the group of individuals treated with both dsDicer-1 + miR-2 mimic (*n* = 35), 6 (18%) molted to N6 and then to N7, whereas the remaining 21 individuals molted to N6 and then to adults (Fig. 3F). These adults presented a normal morphology except for the occurrence of small defects in the vein/intervein pattern in the membranous hindwings (Fig. S5A). These defects are reminiscent of those observed in the dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1 treatments described above (Fig. 1) and in individuals that were depleted for let-7, miR-100, and miR-125 in N6 (27).

As expected, miR-2 levels were very high in the individuals treated with dsDicer-1 + miR-2 mimic, whereas those of let-7 were unaffected (Fig. S5B). The levels of miR-13a and miR-13b were also unaffected (Fig. S5B), which indicates that the primers used to measure miR-13a and miR-13b do not measure miR-2, which additionally suggests that the primers used to measure the three members of the miR-2 family (as in Fig. 2A) are specific. Transcript levels of Met were not modified by the treatments of dsDicer-1 + miR-2 mimic, and those of BR-C were higher than control in dsDicer-1–treated individuals and returned to normal levels in dsDicer-1 + miR-2 mimic–treated individuals. Conversely, E93 expression was reduced in dsDicer-1–treated individuals and tended to recover normal levels in dsDicer-1 + miR-2 mimic–treated individuals (Fig. S5B). Interestingly, these results parallel those obtained with the treatments of dsDicer-1 + dsKr-h1 (Fig. 1), which rescued 100% normal metamorphosis. In this case the rescue was not complete (82% of individuals molted to the adult stage), which is possibly associated with the still-low average levels of recovery of E93 expression after miR-2 mimic treatment.

An miRNA Family That Leads Metamorphosis to a Correct Conclusion.

We had observed in *B. germanica* that depletion of Dicer-1 and consequent reduction of miRNA levels prevented metamorphosis (24). The results presented herein show how Dicer-1 depletion results in increased levels of *Kr-h1* mRNA, and that RNAi of *Kr-h1* in Dicer-1 knockdown individuals rescues metamorphosis. Both results suggest that the inhibition of metamorphosis caused by miRNA depletion is due to a deregulation of *Kr-h1* expression. Moreover, the 3′ UTR of *Kr-h1* mRNA contains a functional binding site for miR-2 miRNAs, suggesting that the deregulation of *Kr-h1* might be due to a deficiency of miR-2 and their variants, miR-13a and miR-13b. We have corroborated this by treating N6 of *B. germanica* with an miR-2 inhibitor, impairing metamorphosis, and by treating Dicer-1–depleted individuals with an miR-2 mimic, which restored metamorphosis. Taken together, the data indicate that miR-2 miRNAs modulate *Kr-h1* mRNA levels and that this is crucial for metamorphosis.

Recent studies have shown that the transcription factor Met is the JH receptor and that downstream of Met the JH signal is transduced by *Kr-h1* (38). In prefinal stages, *Kr-h1* exerts its antimetamorphic action by repressing E93 (32), a transcription factor that triggers adult morphogenesis (32, 39). Thus, the Met–*Kr-h1–E93 axis, or the MEKRE93 pathway (32), switches adult morphogenesis on and off, as observed, among other models, in *B. germanica* (29, 32, 39, 40). *Kr-h1* is expressed in juvenile instars, but its expression abruptly decreases at the beginning of N6 (29), coinciding with the gradual decrease of JH levels in the hemolymph (41). Treatment with JH reinuces *Kr-h1* expression and inhibits adult morphogenesis, indicating that the decrease in *Kr-h1* expression at the beginning of N6 is crucial for metamorphosis and that it is primarily triggered by the decrease of JH levels (29). However, the decrease of *Kr-h1* mRNA levels is very abrupt, which suggests that there are additional mechanisms
regulating it, one of these the repression exerted by e93 on Kr-h1 expression (32, 39). This led us to wonder whether this repressive action is mediated by miR-2, and to test whether e93 stimulates the expression of miR-2 using e93-depleted individuals as in previous experiments (32). miR-2 levels in these individuals were very similar to those of respective controls (Fig. S6), which suggests that the antagonistic actions of e93 and miR-2 over Kr-h1 expression are independent. Therefore, miR-2 miRNAs seem to play a role of Kr-h1 transcript scavenger in a precise developmental stage that is of paramount importance for correct metamorphosis. This would lead us to expect that the respective expression patterns should inversely correlate. However, this is not the case (Fig. 2C), possibly because miR-2 miRNAs regulate multiple targets (42), as well as the fact that Kr-h1 expression is constantly enhanced while JH is present, and it is only at the beginning of N6, when JH vanishes, that there is full, efficient depletion of the Kr-h1 transcripts.

The presence of an miR-2 binding site in the 3′-UTR of Kr-h1 mRNA of hemipterans (Table S1), and the observation that the expression pattern of Kr-h1 in hemipterans (28) and ephemeropterans (43) also decreases at the beginning of the preadult stage, suggest that the clearing of Kr-h1 transcripts by miR-2 miRNAs thus allowing correct metamorphosis, is a common mechanism in hemimetabolan species. In contrast, we were not able to identify miR-2 sites in the Kr-h1 mRNA of holometabolan species, indicating that the contribution of miRNAs to wing development is an evolutionarily modulated feature of metamorphosis (12). Indeed, RNAi of Dicer-1 in T. castaneum only results in occasional wing expansion defects (44), suggesting that in holometabolan species miRNAs only play refining roles in particular morphogenetic processes, such as wing formation. A number of reports support this hypothesis; for example, during adult morphogenesis of D. melanogaster, let-7 is required for neuromuscular remodeling (16), whereas let-7 and miR-125 are needed for proper timing in the wing cell cycle and for the maturation of neuromuscular junctions (14). Also in D. melanogaster, tab-4 attenuates Ultrathorax expression and causes a transformation of halteres to wings (15), whereas miR-9a contributes to wing development by modulating the expression of the transcription factor dMO, which represses Apterous, a factor required for the proper dorsal identity of the wings (13). Specific miRNA functions in wing development have also been described in hemimetabolan species such as B. germanica, where depletion of let-7 and associated miRNAs affects vein patterning (27). Thus, we presume that the contribution of miRNAs to wing development is an ancestral function in insects, which perhaps originated with the first pterygotes, some 350 million years ago (45, 46).

The concept emerging is that a single miRNA family leads metamorphosis to its correct conclusion. This is reminiscent of the role of a single miRNA, the cell’s Nepenthe, that removes maternal transcripts during the maternal-to-zygotic transition (47) to allow a new life to start. In this case the miR-2 miRNAs clear away a single transcript that is preventing the transition to the adult stage—a stage that, in some ways, also represents a new life.

Materials and Methods

Insects. Individuals of B. germanica were obtained from a colony reared in the dark at 30 ± 1 °C and 60–70% relative humidity. Freshly eclosed female nymphs were selected and used for the appropriate ages. They were anesthetized with carbon dioxide before injection treatments, dissections, and tissue sampling.

RNA Extraction and Retrotranscription to cDNA. We performed total RNA extraction from the whole body, using the mirNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen). For miRNA quantification a 500-ng sample from each RNA extraction was reverse-transcribed with the NCodeTM mRNA first-strand synthesis and qRT-PCR kit (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. All PCR products were subcloned into the pStBlue-1 vector (Novagen) and sequenced.

Quantification of miRNAs and mRNAs by Quantitative Real-Time PCR. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) reactions were performed in triplicate in an iQ5 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories), using SYBR Green (Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix; Applied Biosystems). A template-free control was included in all batches. Primer efficiency was first validated by constructing a standard curve through four serial dilutions and was assessed using the Bio-Rad iQ Standard Edition Optical System Software (version 2.0). mRNA levels were calculated relative to BgActin-Sc (Accession no. AJ862721) expression. Results are given as copies of mRNA per 1,000 copies of BgActin-Sc mRNA. Primers are described in Table S2. In the case of miRNAs, we used the U6 from B. germanica (accession no. FR823379) as a reference, and thus results are given as copies of RNA per copies of U6 (25). miRNA primers are also described in Table S2. Given the structural similarity of miR-2, miR-13a, and miR-13b, we carefully assessed the specificity of the respective primers by confirming that the melting curve corresponding to each amplification presented a single peak and that the three respective melting temperatures were different.

RNAi. The detailed procedures for RNAi have been reported previously (24). Primers to prepare the dsRNAs are described in Table S2. The sequences were amplified by PCR and cloned into the pStBlue-1 vector. A 3′′ cloned into the pStBlue-1 vector sequence from Autographa californica nucleopolyhedrovirus (Accession no. K01149, from nucleotides 370–676) was used as control dsRNA (dsMock). A volume of 1 μL of the respective dsRNA solution (3 μg/μL) was injected into the abdomen of individuals at chosen stages.

Prediction of miRNA Binding Sites. To predict binding sites in the 3′ UTR of Kr-h1, we used the following three parameters and algorithm sets. RNAhybrid (bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/mayhrybr/) (48), with a distribution probability of parameter ζ = 1.98 and η = 1.16; miRanda (www.microrna.org/microrna) (49), with a score threshold of 134; and PITA (genie.weizmann.ac.il/PITA/index.html) (50), with the seed limitation between 5 and 8 and with Firefly luciferase as a 5′ upstream.

Luciferase Assay to Assess the Effect of miR-2 on the mRNA of Kr-h1. Two reporter constructs were generated by cloning downstream of Firefly luciferase coding region, under the control of an Ac5 promoter, a WT or a mutated (Mut) 3′-UTR of B. germanica Kr-h1. WT 3′-UTR was generated by PCR amplification from a pStBlue-1 vector (Novagen) with the Kr-h1 transcript, using the primers described in Table S2. To generate the Mut version, a directed-site mutagenesis was carried out to remove the 8 nt of the 3′ end of the site (GAGUGAUA; Fig. 5A), which include the region complementary to the miR-2 seed, taking the WT vector as template and using the primers described in Table S2. We modified the plasmid pAc5.1 and inserted a Firefly luciferase coding region in KpnI site and NotI and under the control of the Ac5 promoter. Then, we added a new mult cloning site from pStBlue. Kr-h1 3′ UTR was finally cloned downstream of the Firefly luciferase coding region of plasmid pAc5 + Luciferase. All PCR fragments were cloned in the pAc5 vector and confirmed by sequencing. To test the luciferase expression, they were transiently co-transfected in 24-well plates with Insect GeneJuice (Novagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfection was performed in triplicate with the following mixture per well: 500 ng of Firefly luciferase reporter plasmid, WT or Mut, and 500 ng of the Renilla luciferase, as a transfection control plasmid. In the experiments with miR-2 antagonists, miR-2 LNA-1 (or miRNA LNA-1 Negative Control for controls) was added at a concentration of 0.5 mM. After 48 h of transfection, Dual-Glo luciferase assays (Promega) were performed following the manufacturer’s instructions and measured in an Orion II microplate luminometer (Titertek-Berthold). Results are expressed as the ratio of Renilla:Firefly luciferase activity (mean ± SEM) based on three to five independent replicates and normalized to the value obtained in the incubations with miRNA LNA-1 Negative Control.

Experiments with miRNA Inhibitors and miRNA Mimics. To deplete miR-2 in the experiments for validating the miRNA binding site, miR-2-miRCURY LNA microRNA Inhibitor (miR-2 LNA-1) (Exiqon) was used. In the experiments to inhibit metamorphosis, miR-2a-miRCURY LNA microRNA Power Inhibitor (miR-2 LNA-pi) (Exiqon), which has more powerful action and resistance against DNases, was used. miR-2 LNA microRNA Inhibitor Negative Control A (Exiqon) was used as control. In all experiments individuals were treated with two doses of 25 nM each of inhibitor or negative control, one on N6D0 and the other on N6D1, and effects were determined on N6D2. To rescue metamorphosis in Dicer-1-depleted individuals, GMR-miR microRNA double-stranded mimics from GenePharma (S1) (miR-2a mimic and miRNA
Scramble as negative control) were used. Individuals that had been treated with dsDicer-1 in N5D0 were treated with three doses of 25 nM each of the miR-2 mimic or the corresponding control in N5D4, N6D0, and N6D1, and results in terms of transcript measurements were studied on N6D4.

Statistical Analysis. Significance of the differences between treated and control samples was determined with the REST software tool (52).
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Fig. S1. Effects of Dicer-1 depletion in *Blattella germanica*. Females freshly ecdysed to the fifth nymphal instar (N5D0) received an injection (3 μg) of dsMock or dsDicer-1. (A) The levels of Dicer-1 mRNA and let-7 on N6D2 were significantly lower than in the controls, whereas Kr-h1 mRNA levels were higher; data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 4), Dicer-1 and Kr-h1 expression is indicated as copies of the respective mRNA per 1,000 copies of Actin-5c, and let-7 levels are indicated as copies of let-7 per copy of U6; the different letters at the top of the columns in each histogram indicate statistically significant differences (in all cases \( P \leq 0.05 \)), according to the REST software tool. (B) Experimental individuals molted to normal N6 in all cases; subsequently, dsMock-treated individuals (n = 10) molted from N6 to normal adults, whereas dsDicer-1–treated individuals (n = 10) molted from N6 to perfect supernumerary nymphs (N7) (80%) or to nymphoids with membrane-like lateral expansions in the metathorax (20%). (C) Two out of eight of the perfect N7 obtained from dsDicer-1 treatments molted to a second morphologically perfect supernumerary nymph (N8), and then to adults that were bigger than normal and presented a blackish abdomen, similar in color to that of a nymph.
**Fig. S2.** Effects of dsDicer-1 and dsKr-h1 in *B. germanica.* (A and B) Effects of double treatment with dsDicer-1. Females received two 3-μg injections of dsMock or dsDicer-1, one when freshly ecdysed to the fifth nymphal instar (N5D0) and the other on N5D3. (A) Levels of Dicer-1 mRNA and let-7 on N6D2 were significantly lower than in the controls, whereas Kr-h1 mRNA levels were dramatically higher. (B) In each case, all experimental individuals molted to normal N6, and then to N7 (100%); however, they died during ecdysis, being unable to detach from the exuvium. (C) Effects of dsDicer-1 on Kr-h1 expression and of double RNAi, with dsDicer-1 and dsKr-h1 on the expression of transcription factors Methoprene-tolerant (Met), Broad-complex (BR-C), and E93. Females freshly ecdysed to fifth nymphal instar (N5D0) received an injection (3 μg) of dsMock or dsDicer-1. The same individuals received a second injection (3 μg) either of dsMock (Control) or dsKr-h1 on N6D0. In A and C, data represent the mean ± SEM (*n* = 4); Dicer-1, Kr-h1, Met, BR-C, and E93 expression is indicated as copies of the respective mRNA per 1,000 copies of Actin-5c mRNA, whereas let-7 levels are indicated as copies of let-7 per copy of U6. The different letters at the top of the columns in each histogram indicate statistically significant differences (in all cases *P* ≤ 0.05), according to the REST software tool.
Fig. S3. miR-2 binding site, miR-2 family in B. germanica, and effects of miR-2 LNA inhibitor in vitro. (A) Schematic representation of Kr-h1 mRNA showing the predicted miR-2 binding site at nucleotide 67 on the 3′ UTR. (B) Alignment of the three members of the miR-2 family found in the last nymphal instar (N6) of B. germanica. (C) Genomic organization of miR-2 family sequences; the three miRNAs are found in the scaffold 804 of the B. germanica genome version 1.0 (GenBank assembly ID: GCA_000762945.1) (see https://www.hgsc.bcm.edu/arthropods/german-cockroach-genome-project). Identical organization is observed in Tribolium castaneum, Bombyx mori, andApis mellifera (1). The blue arrow depicts mir-71, which does not belong to miR-2 family but is associated to the same cluster in the same position in these three species and in B. germanica. Distances between arrows are not proportional to real length. The numbers indicate the position of each mature miRNA in scaffold 804. (D) Effect of an miR-2 LNA inhibitor (miR-2 LNA-i) on levels of miR-2 in Drosophila S2 cells incubated in vitro. Control cells were treated with Scramble LNA. Measurements were performed after 48 h of incubation; data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3–5); miRNA levels are indicated as copies per copy of U6. The different letters at the top of the columns indicate statistically significant differences (in all cases P ≤ 0.05), according to the REST software tool.


Fig. S4. Effect of miR-13a and miR13b LNA Power Inhibitor (miR-13a LNA-pi and miR-13b LNA-pi) on miRNA levels in B. germanica. Individuals were treated with two doses of 25 nM each of the corresponding LNA-pi or of miRNA Inhibitor Negative Control (Control), one on N6D0 and the other on N6D1. Kr-h1 expression and miRNA levels were measured in N6D2; miRNA levels are indicated as copies of the respective miRNA per copy of U6; Kr-h1 expression is indicated as copies of Kr-h1 mRNA per 1,000 copies of Actin-5c mRNA; data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 4). The different letters at the top of the columns in each histogram indicate statistically significant differences (in all cases P ≤ 0.05), according to the REST software tool.
Fig. S5. Effects of miR-2 mimic (miR-2-m) treatment on Dicer-1–depleted individuals of B. germanica. Females freshly ecdysed to fifth nymphal instar (N5D0) received an injection (3 μg) of dsMock or dsDicer-1; the group further received three doses of 25 nM each of miR-2-m, on N5D4, N6D0, and N6D1; controls received an equivalent treatment with miRNA mimic Scramble (Controls). (A). Adult female hindwings from a control individual treated with dsMock + Scramble miRNA, from a individual treated with dsDicer-1 + miR-2 mimic (note the disorganized vein/intervein pattern in the anterior wing region shown by the arrow), and from a individual treated with let-7 LNA inhibitor (data and image are from ref. 1); note that the wing show defects in the vein/intervein patterning in the anterior wing region, as well as anomalous vein bifurcation in the posterior wing region: arrows). (B) Effects of miR-2-m treatment on Dicer-1–depleted individuals upon mRNA levels of Methoprene-tolerant (Met), Broad-complex (BR-C), and E93, as well as on levels of miR-2, miR-13a, miR-13b, and let-7, measured on N6D4. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 4). Expression of Met, BR-C and E93 is indicated as copies of mRNA per 1,000 copies of Actin-5c mRNA; miR-2, miR-13a, miR-13b, and let-7 levels are indicated as copies of miRNA per copy of U6. The different letters at the top of the columns indicate statistically significant differences comparing treatments (P ≤ 0.05), according to the REST software tool.

Fig. S6. Effects of E93 depletion on miR-2 expression levels in *B. germanica*. RNA extracts were from E93-depleted individuals, and the respective controls (dsMock-treated), obtained by Belles and Santos (1). Males freshly ecdysed to fifth nymphal instar (N5D0) received an injection (2 μg) of dsMock or dsE93 and were dissected on N6D4. Data represents the mean ± SEM (*n* = 4). E93 expression is indicated as copies of mRNA per 1,000 copies of Actin-5c mRNA, and levels of miR-2 are indicated as copies per copy of U6. The different letters at the top of the columns in each histogram indicate statistically significant differences (in all cases *P* ≤ 0.05), according to the REST software tool.


Table S1. Prediction of miRNA binding sites for miR-2, miR-13a, and miR-13b in the 3′ UTR of *B. germanica* Kr-h1 mRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>3′ UTR site</th>
<th>Rel. energy</th>
<th>Rel. score</th>
<th>Rel. total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>B. germanica</em></td>
<td>miR-2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. germanica</em></td>
<td>miR-13a</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. germanica</em></td>
<td>miR-13b</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pyrrhocoris apterus</em></td>
<td>miR-2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodnius prolixus</em></td>
<td>miR-2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acyrtosiphon pisum</em></td>
<td>miR-2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sites were independently predicted by the following three algorithms: RNAhybrid ([bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/rnahybrid/](http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/rnahybrid/)), used with a distribution probability of parameter $\xi = 1.98$ and $\Theta = 1.16$; miRanda ([www.microrna.org/microrna/](http://www.microrna.org/microrna/)), with a score threshold of 100; and PITA (genie.weizmann.ac.il/index.html), with the seed limitation between 5 and 8. 3′ UTR site indicates the first nucleotide where the miRNA seed region would bind, according to the mRNA sequence available in GenBank. To have a comparable quality estimator value among methods, the different scores are obtained by the normalization to 1 with reference to the best prediction. Rel. energy, the averaged relative value of energy; Rel. score, the relative value of scores; and Rel. total, the product Rel. energy × Rel. score.
Table S2.  Forward (Fw) and reverse (Rv) primers used to measure mRNA and miRNA levels by qRT-PCR, to synthesize dsRNAs for RNAi, and to amplify the 3′ UTR region of Kr-h1 mRNA: WT or to perform a site-directed mutagenesis suppressing the miR-2 site (Mut) for luciferase assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>5′-3′</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>qRT-PCR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr-h1 Fw</td>
<td>GCGAGTATTGGCAGCAATCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr-h1 Rv</td>
<td>GGGAGTTCTTCTTGATGGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met Fw</td>
<td>CTGTGGGAGACATCGCAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met Rv</td>
<td>GCCAGTGATGGAGTGAAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR-C Fw</td>
<td>GGGTCTGAAAGGGAAAGACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR-C Rv</td>
<td>CCTGGCCGCATGCTGCGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E93 Fw</td>
<td>TCCAATGTGGATCTGCGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E93 Rv</td>
<td>TTTGGGATGCAAAAGAAATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicer-1 Fw</td>
<td>GCACCTGGGACAGAAATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicer-1 Rv</td>
<td>ATCCAAAGGTGCCCCATCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin Fw</td>
<td>AGCTTCCTGATGTCAGGTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin Rv</td>
<td>TGTCGCAAATCCAAGGTACATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-2</td>
<td>TCAACGCACTTTGATGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-13a</td>
<td>TATCACAGCCACTTTGATGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-13b</td>
<td>TATACAGCCACTTTTGGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let-7</td>
<td>TGGAGTACTAGTTGATTAAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U6</td>
<td>CATACAGAAGAGATTACATGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dsRNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDicer-1 Fw</td>
<td>GGTGAGTCTGTGTGGAAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDicer-1 Rv</td>
<td>CATGGCAGGATGGAAACTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsKr-h1 Fw</td>
<td>GAATCCAGGATGGCAATGCAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsKr-h1 Rv</td>
<td>CCTGGCCACAAATGACACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsE93 Fw</td>
<td>AAAGAATGTCGGAGACAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsE93 Rv</td>
<td>GGAGTGGTCTTGGCACTGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsMock (Polyhedrin) Fw</td>
<td>ATCCCTTCTGGAGCCGCGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsMock (Polyhedrin) Rv</td>
<td>ATGAAGGCTGCAGCATCCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vector luciferase</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr-h1 3′ UTR WT Fw</td>
<td>GAGGAAGATTGGATATTCCAGAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr-h1 3′ UTR WT Rv</td>
<td>TGAAGCTATTTATTTTTATAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr-h1 3′ UTR Mut Fw</td>
<td>CCTCAGAAATGGTTATCTGGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kr-h1 3′ UTR Mut Rv</td>
<td>GGCCAGAATACACCATTACATTTGAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>